

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,648	06/02/2003	Jean-Marc Frances	RN98132	3040
75	90 02/06/2006		EXAM	INER
Jean-Louis Se	eugnet		BERMAN,	SUSAN W
Rhodia Inc Intellectual Prop	perty Dept		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
259 Prospect Pl			1711	
Cranbury, NJ	08512-7500		DATE MAILED: 02/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	dvisoi	ry Act	tion	
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/806,648	FRANCES, JEAN-MARC	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan W. Berman	1711	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonmy

The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. 🗵	The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 January 2006</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of
	the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the
	appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
	NUMENTO

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
annel, and/an

appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corr	esponding number of finally rejected claims.

	. (-) ,
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)

5.	\boxtimes	Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s): 35USC112 rej. of cl 16	; 35USC103 rej. of clm:	s over CA 2232960	
6		Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	would be allowable if submitted in a	senarate timely filed a	mendment canceli	nc

٠ [Newly properties	osed or amended cla	im(s) woul	d be allowable i	f submitted in a	separate, timely	y filed amendment	canceling the
	non-allowabl	le claim(s).						
7	S Ear nurnaea	e of appeal, the prope	seed amendment	رم النبد 🗖 (د): ۵)	ot be entered a	r h) 🕅 will ha c	intered and an evn	lanation of

7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \square will not be entered, or b) \boxtimes	will be entered and an explanation of
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.

Claim(s) rejected: 12-18 and 20.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

3. [The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. 🛚	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
	showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered.	An explanation of the status of the	e claims after entry is below	or attached.
DECLIEST FOR DECONSIDERATION/OTHER			

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.	\boxtimes	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
		see attached page(s).

 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
---	------------------------------------

^	$\overline{}$	Other:	
.1	1 1	Otner.	

Susan W Berman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711 Art Unit: 1711

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 01/09/2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US 6,747,071 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims over US 6,747,071 is withdrawn in response.

Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims over Schmidt '528 in combination with Priou '688 is maintained. Schmidt teaches siloxanes having alkenyl ether and/or epoxy functional groups, including low molecular weight condensates with a degree of condensation of about 2 to 6 (column 3, lines 12-54). Such low molecular weight condensation products would be expected to be liquid at room temperature or to be heat-meltable at a temperature of less than 100°C. Applicant's arguments with respect to combination of the teachings of Schmidt and Priou are not persuasive for the following reasons. Both references teach photocuring of siloxanes having epoxy functional groups. Schmidt also teach alkenyl ether functional groups and Priou teaches analogous vinyl ether functional groups. Schmidt suggests metallocene salts or iodonium borate salts as initiators. Priou teaches metallocene salts wherein the anions are borate anions for curing the disclosed siloxanes. Both references also teach that the compositions can contain fillers, such as silica, titanium dioxide or talc. Thus the stated position that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to substitute a cyclopentadienyl iron salt of a borate anion photoinitiator taught by Priou for polymerizing polysiloxanes having epoxy or vinyl ether functional groups for the metallocene photoinitiators taught by Schmidt for polymerizing condensation products of silanes having epoxy or alkenyl ether functional groups is maintained. Priou is relied upon for teaching initiators for epoxy- or vinyl ether functional siloxanes. Schmidt is relied upon for teaching siloxane compositions for dental applications.

Application/Control Number: 09/806,648 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

It is noted that applicant proposes to delete "oxetane" functional groups as "Z" in claim 12 but has not deleted "oxetane" from the functional groups in claims 15 and 16.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan W. Berman whose telephone number is 571 272 1067. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571 272 1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SB 2/2/06

Susan W Berman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711